

# Examiners' Report Principal Examiner Feedback

Summer 2022

Pearson Edexcel International Advanced Level In Law (YLA1) Paper 2 The Law in Action

## **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>

## Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: <a href="http://www.pearson.com/uk">www.pearson.com/uk</a>

Summer 2022 Publications Code YLA1\_02\_2206\_ER All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2022

## Introduction

The paper examines many of the areas of substantive law from the specification. The vast majority of candidates attempted all questions with a much increased number providing excellent responses across a range of different areas of the specification. Interpretation of command words showed a clear improvement over previous sessions. Candidates' responses overall showed a clear improvement in the use of appropriate case law and legislative provisions to enhance their answers though this needs to continue across all entries. Application of appropriate legal principals has also shown a measurable improvement across candidate responses.

## General issues

Questions of 2 or 4 marks are asking candidates for points based answers which means they could receive a mark for every correct accurate point made in answering the question. Space provided for answers should inform candidates of the brevity of response required. Command words such as 'State' and 'Explain' gain marks for providing knowledge, explained examples and/or identification of specific legal concepts from the questions. A key point that should be stressed with candidates is that question 4(a) 'Identify' only awards marks for a brief application (A02) of the legal issues to the scenario. There are no marks awarded for knowledge (A01) no matter how detailed and expansive this.

Questions worth 6, 10, 14 or 20 marks are asking candidates to provide an assessment of a legal issue or a problem given using a combination of relevant legal knowledge combined with an assessment of the issue. Candidates' answers are awarded a mark based on the level of response they display reading their answer as a whole.

A level is awarded starting from L4, the highest level of assessment objective skills (A01,A02, A03, A04), working downwards until a 'best fit' can be seen between the level and the student's response.

Analyse questions using the command word 'Analyse' required candidates to weigh up a legal issue with accurate knowledge supported by either case law, legislative provision or legal theories, displaying developed reasoning and balance. There was no requirement to offer any conclusions. The amount of space provided should inform candidates as to the level of detail required to score 6 marks.

10, 14 and 20-mark questions required candidates to approach a legal problem with accurate knowledge supported by appropriate and relevant case law, legislative provision and legal theories and apply this to the scenario. Discussions of relevant issues needed to be well developed, with candidates showing where the evidence in the scenario supported legal authority and where it was lacking. Comparisons of conflicting evidence and legal arguments needed to be demonstrated by candidates with a balanced comparison and justified conclusions based on the case law/legislation. For all questions worth 6 to 20 marks analysis starts with candidates only discussing relevant legal principles that are contentious to answering the question. These areas were expected to take up the majority of candidate responses with settled areas of law being worth a small amount of credit.

## Important notes regarding assess and evaluate questions

It is important to emphasise with centres that candidates have a number of options when undertaking problem solving questions. Particularly for questions worth 10 marks and above.

Whilst any approach to answering a legal problem is able to access the full range of marks it may be helpful to re-emphasise two established approaches:

**The vertical approach** has been the traditional approach to answering legal questions. This is where an answer looks at each aspect of the law in turn and explains and applies the law to the problem, reach a conclusion on each aspect as the answer develops. It is often seen as a logical approach to legal problem solving that helps candidates focus on the ingredients in the area of law being examined. For example, in a criminal law problem the answer could explain the first element of crime, including any relevant cases and acts, and then link these to the facts of the scenario picking up marks for knowledge, application, analysis and evaluation.

**The Horizontal approach** is an alternative approach where all the law relevant to solving the legal problem is firstly explained in detail. For example, the candidate may take up the first 2 or 3 paragraphs of their answer with relevant knowledge and understanding of the law. The rest of the essay then undertakes the analysis, application and evaluation elements of the essay, with only passing reference to established legal concepts. Some students may find this more direct approach quicker and less complicated.

Both approaches allow full access to A01, A02, A03, and A04 marks.

## **Question 1a**

The command word is 'State' which requires candidates to give a one step, short answer.

This question is a points based one where the candidate needs to give one meaning of 'freedom of assembly' under the Human Rights Act 1988 for 1 knowledge mark. For the other application mark the candidate then needs to give a brief explanation or expansion of the meaning of 'freedom of assembly', for example using a case.

The vast majority of candidates managed to gain one mark for stating a meaning of 'freedom of assembly'. This could be obtained simply by stating

the correct Article reference, article 11. Many students were able to develop this meaning with a brief expansion of this right such as an example of meeting as a trade union.

Answer ALL questions.
Write your answers in the spaces provided.
1 (a) State the meaning of 'freedom of assembly' under the Human Rights Act 1998.
Article 11 of the Human Right Act 1998 is the preedom of ascembly
which includes gathering in groups, protecting and joining trade unions
for oney benefit. This is a qualified right, therefore it can be
interfered with when it evoker violence.

Examiner tipExaA 2-mark state question only requires a 2 sentence<br/>answer. One showing relevant knowledge and the other<br/>giving a relevant development, for example a more<br/>detailed definition or relevant case.This<br/>exa

## Examiner comments

This **scored 2 marks** – Article 1 gets the A01 mark and then examples such as joining a trade union gains the A02 mark

DOC\_ID: 0507003564741

The command word is 'explain' which requires candidates to show understanding of the law through an explanation with application or relevant case law.

This question is a points based one where the candidate needs to explain 2 meanings of 'freedom of expression' for 2 knowledge marks. For the application marks the candidate then needed to give an example of this concept ideally using a relevant case explanation.

The best answers were able to give 2 meanings of 'freedom of expression' and one development using a case such as Guardian v UK, for 3 marks. Many responses scored full marks. Many candidates were able to score 1 or 2 marks for either a creditable meaning of 'freedom of expression' or the use of an appropriate example. However, some answers were confused, stating for example, this was article 11, which is not accurate. (b) Explain briefly an individual's rights to freedom of expression under the Human Rights Act 1998.

Freedom of expression (Article 10) is a qualified right which means Mat it can be interfered with by public authonties. An individual has the right to freely express their uplations through; media, wore of arts (paintings), books, television, radio etc... Without government interference, thewever mose rights can be interfered with by public authontics if: it's in the interests of national security, protect health and Marials, prevent commal discriber, <u>GUARDIAN VUK</u>, <u>HANDYSIDE VUK</u>- the nights had ben breached, the applicant had the right to publish the book as it was "necessary in a democratic society" and it was protected by actual to.

Examiner tip

A 4 mark explain question only requires 4 sentences. 2 sentences should be explanation of the concept and 2 sentences should give a relevant case and brief explanation. If candidates write notes on topics such as 'freedom of expression' this format it will aid revision and exam technique to gain full marks in this type of question.

#### **Examiner Comments**

(4)

Here the candidate gives article 10 for an A01 mark and definition of article 10 for the 2<sup>nd</sup> mark. Then two relevant cases are briefly explained for the 2 A02 marks, **scoring 4 marks**.

Note that this candidate has 'over engineered' their answer running out of space. Failure to write concisely may risk briefer answers later in the paper and the possibility of failing to finish.

DOC\_ID: 0507003729864

## **Question 1c**

This was marked using a levels of response based mark scheme. The candidates' answers were assessed in their entirety and allocated a level based on where this best fitted the level descriptions.

The command word in this question was 'Evaluate', which was looking for an extended answer, identifying areas of law which were given and some which were not. Candidates needed to draw a conclusion based on the law, its application and evaluation, with use of the problem.

This was the weakest answered question on the paper. Weaker responses tended to simply rewrite the problem as a descriptive answers adding in some logical deduction, scoring little credit. Better responses were able to identify the issue of privacy and its relationship to one or more of the articles of the Human Rights Act, such as article 10. Good answers tended to apply the law on Human Rights and data protection to Jonas's situation pointing out the legal framework for holding and storing information by police. The best answers were able to define in detail appropriate sections of the Data Protection Act and the Freedom of Information Act, such as areas covering subject access requests and how these rules applied to Jonas's situation.

For **level 1** candidates were able to give basic knowledge of relevant human rights or data protection issues

For **level 2** candidates were able to relate the law on human rights to Jonas's case briefly. Case law was often missing or not appropriately applied.

For **level 3** candidates were able to relate the law on human rights and data protection/freedom of information to Jonas's situation including relevant sections from act. At the top of this level evidence was provided of specific elements of the Data Protection Act or Freedom of Information Act (FOI) such as a S1(1) of the FOI and apply this to the scenario.

For **level 4** candidates were able to discuss Data Protection Act or Freedom of Information Act (FOI) using appropriate terminology and case law, together with an evaluation of whether or not Jonas's was able to access his information and whether the police had breached either the acts or his human rights. Explanation and application of appropriate terminology was effectively used. Relevant sections of each act were used throughout the answer. The best answers correctly Data Protection Act or Freedom of Information Act (FOI) giving a reasoned judgment as to Jonas's situation.

by gence (no macon to care ) but moson to election) (14 Q010
Jene, under me Dag Propertion ACF 298 and the 6000
has a right to request a copy of his intermetter & copy
Figure to Under the Data Reservice Act 2018 and the GORR
Jones has the right to request certain things, however,
Under the same low defences can be provided as to why
Janos may not be allowed to make such realidests Section
Encontrol (2022239) mart sanitab ARD art to E
which can identify an "identified" or "identifiate individual"
meaning someone who can be identified by their personal data,
and information. Such information includes an identification
pumber, an 19 address, a m regular codress, bauerer
individual may also be identified by their physical or mental
20000000 02 Well Processors' and " (arrangers' chain, sor
rectify, erose, and generally (arrial personal data. The informa-
ton commissioners affice is sent the diata and under the
act Jonos is able to see certain requests provided in section
State 17 and there a response within a month.
novnak, noromalni zin 20 2000 a souloni zeoupon 2007
as the subject cares request (SAR), which consists of
privay infamotion such as who will be given a shown the

TA016750420

-

personal data, for what purpose, and for how long the dato win be retained Additionally, Jasons has a ment to ask for his persona data to be erased, which fails under (the light to conset in this case doed win be temperatly stored. The DRA CONSISTS of Very pirciples of building ness, accuracy, and accounterbilly Excecnte Following these principles, the police must press Joshs requeers, however by cosing certain defences they may to anicordo and analy and as graph and and and personal data for low enforcement fails under section 2. Since police is retaining Jasons daya for a suspected thankst the defence list, the of threat to notional security and teneritien integrity may be used, along with the prevention of crime and the nointenance of low and order. Nervineless - although a stearce the ignt to be forgotten! this an be convared with the above defences. Maneover, the Freedore of Information ACt (FOILA) provides that Plent. one, defined in the case of Vinci Constructions, cmarts to canport a preservisit a fraduas individen yne , bodies. about public outvolties and institutions, and information This differs from the DRA where personal apphisotion. data regards the data of an individital not a concernit 001 Total

10	bisiness, or the deceased. Public against
	Bott include all book as which are show funded.
	Charties, for example, are an exception. However the
	do include police affices, the NHS, government deport.
	do include police africes, the NHS, government deport- The Vight to ments concerns a freedom of information and
	defined as the ignt to request and access inform.
	atta about a public body. No reason for the request
	may be given, havever more the Dord, there must
1111110000000000	be a purpose and reason for the data collection,
111111000000000000000000000000000000000	proceeding, and protection. On the other hand, information
111111111000-00-01	on north pe certified to someone me prescribed by
	law, a course or tribunal, or if the manual actions
	typeat to nother security territorian integrity.
	prevention of the cine only the prointenance of
	an and arder, An example of this is the services
	cannot disclose information threadening bublic safety, such
C	in the case of py Parting. To conclude Jones?

	as in the case of Px Paning. To conclude Jones?
1 2 - 0 - 1	rights to access his data, have a capy of his data
1 bitar	given to him, and requiring inea mation are all restiged
	under the defences a notional security and obliged
1 824 1	by the law, such as the Anti-Tenaisn, and Revention
	Act

Here the candidate gives detailed application of the Data Protection Act with relevant sections and case law applied to Jonas's situation. Half way through the answer the candidate then gives detailed application of the Freedom of Information Act using the same approach. The essay ends with a reasoned conclusion on Jonas's rights and obligations in the situation.

The answer meets all criteria required for level 4 and a score of 14 marks.

Note that this candidate has 'over engineered' their answer running out of space. Failure to write concisely may risk briefer answers later in the paper and the possibility of failing to finish.

Doc id: 0507003961550

Jonas regularly attends protests to support the rights of refugees entering the UK.

After reading in a newspaper that his details had been held by the police for at least 10 years, Jonas contacted the police to request a copy of all the information held about him. Jonas also asked that the police delete his details.

Jonas has been informed by the police that his details are held on a suspected terrorist list, but refuse to give him any further details or delete him from the list.

(c) Evaluate Jonas's rights to the information held by the police and his rights to protect his privacy.

(14)grantel aa ۵ ω  $\alpha$ inter Math 10 UPPA Mat10/ Tiat 10 na qualant Mast own making them a Ŵ nus nonsat 195510 We police naa đь WP grants Ivaly balle. one way conta argue て

data on him & storing it without his police idleating this fiftele and the data a vielation Shall the consent ís 2018 Act. protection Principles the espoused Also, we can see preces The case of was stored in S& Marper VUK. The internation dest consent for a gavernment databases against the MR. The European Court of Human was a violation of Article of the brokend the UK government and delete the fo stood data સે In a similar case Onas ٤s beny stared police his only crime is attenthe mecessarily 64 stored against luls Icacethl yas been stored an extended period consent, and Johas takes vill Mest libely be made to delete hformation, although the UR courts are the plice liable. CØSL kphy to The to Strasbau way onas ruecešstul onclusion. DAAS withde to access the data and deleted by the palice, The police are h violatio (Total for Question 1 = 20 marks) both the pata for 2018 and Section 8 at the European Computien on Human Light,

## Examiner tip

For weaker students it may be better using the horizontal approach to problem solving, i.e. planning to complete answers by firstly writing down all the relevant case law and explanation, followed by application. This may build confidence in the traditionally more difficult element of completing an evaluate question in applying the law.

#### Examiner comments

An alternative approach using article 8 of the Human Rights and the Data Protection Act to discuss and reach a conclusion on Jonas's rights and obligations in terms of information stored by the police.

The answer meets most of the criteria required for level 4 and a **score of 12 marks**. With a little more development of the use of case law quoted this would have scored full marks.

DOC\_ID: 0507003563325

## Question 2a

This was marked using a levels of response based mark scheme. The candidates' answers were assessed in their entirety and allocated a level based on where this best fitted the level descriptions.

The command word in this question was 'Analyse', which was looking for a detailed answer, identifying the relationships between the general rule on privity of contract and the exceptions to that rule. There was no need for candidates to provide a conclusion.

This question was generally well answered with a large number of candidates applying relevant knowledge and understanding on the law of defamation to the scenario. Candidates often quoted the American case of Johnny Depp v Amber Heard. Whilst this showed a high level of contemporary interest in this area of law as this is a US rather than an English case at first instance it could only be credited as a persuasive precedent.

For a **level 1** candidate response displayed a basic knowledge of defamation such as the difference between libel and slander.

For a **level 2** response (3 or 4 marks) basic knowledge on defamation was developed with an outline of the law such the meaning of serious harm related briefly to the scenario.

For a **level 3** response candidates explained a broad range of case law and sections under the Defamation Act with relevant application to Adamu's claim. 6 6 mark responses had a very good balance between the relevant law on defamation, including a couple of cases applied to the scenario. Remedies may have also been covered briefly. The biggest issue for candidates at this level was responses that were well beyond the level required for the marks available, leading to greatly extended answers and the risk of not being able to complete the paper.

2 A radio station implied in several live broadcasts that Adamu, the owner of a large British construction business, was responsible for deaths caused by a dam collapsing. The radio station also alleged Adamu knew about the poor quality of the work when building the dam, but took no action to address this.

(a) Analyse Adamu's claim against the radio station under the Defamation Act 2013.

(6)

Defamation is making a public statement that damages the image of the person that statement is against. Its can either be done through libel; a written statement or Slander, a recorded statement. The requirement for Adamy to successfully place hability of Deformation is to prove that he was ostracized due the claims, he ferced loss in business, namely financial and the claims had repureusiions towards his public image. Under section(1) of the Defamation Act (2013) there should be serious horm caused in this respect. Under section (2) however, the radion has defended about truth. The station must prove that what they are claiming against Adamu is in fact the truth. As well as this Under section (4) defence as publication, the station must show that it was in the interest of public opinion that this information be provided. Under section (3) the station has defence as honest opinion. Was there opinion such that an nonest responsible man should believe it? If the station can provide evidence for these than they not be held liable cooperformed Defamation liw

#### Examiner Comments

This answer correctly analyses the relevant sections of the defamation Act and applies this to the scenario Even though no case law is used the statutory framework and discussion of how this applies to Adamu is sufficient for level 3 in the space allowed. This led to it scoring 6 marks.

DOC\_ID: 0507003563324

#### Examiner tip

Questions like this are effectively two questions in one. Candidate answers should be taught as two paragraphs, one explaining why the legal principle exists and the other why the exceptions exist. Reference to cases needs to brief as this is only a 6-mark question.

## **Question 2b**

This was marked using a levels of response based mark scheme. The candidates' answers were assessed in their entirety and allocated a level based on where this best fitted the level descriptions.

The command word in this question was 'Evaluate', which was looking for an extended answer, identifying areas of law which were given and some which were not. Candidates needed to draw a conclusion based on the law, its application and evaluation, with use of the problem.

Most candidates were able to give brief definitions of elements of the law on Occupiers' liability and apply this to the scenario. Weaker responses tended to focus on identifying who was the occupier and the status of Mia as a lawful visitor. The best responses gave a very detailed explanation and application of relevant issues on the 1957 Act, together with relevant sections from the Act, cases and how damages might apply. Many good answers correctly highlighted the special position of a firefighter entering a dangerous building and evaluated where liability would fall.

For **level 1** candidates were able to give basic knowledge of an element of Occupiers' liability such as the duty of care to lawful visitors or isolated elements about remedies

For **level 2** candidates were able to relate the law Occupiers' Liability Act 1957 to Mia's situation. Case law was often missing or not appropriately applied.

For **level 3** candidates were able to relate the specific sections of the Occupiers' Liability Act 1957 to the situation including relevant case law. Remedies were identified but application and evaluation across the answers were not always developed.

For **level 4** candidates were able to discuss law on Occupiers' Liability Act 1957 using appropriate terminology and case law, together with an evaluation of whether or not Mia could rely on the Act to gain redress from Rasma. Explanation and application of appropriate terminology was effectively used. Relevant case law was used throughout the answer.

(b) Evaluate Mia's rights and remedies, under the Occupiers' Liability Acts, in respect of the injuries sustained. (14)This claim comes under the acupiers Liebeling Act. (OLA), 1957 55 Mig CM) dur is a lawful visition. The injuries must be caused do to the state of the PHMILLS. S. 1 (3) (4) Elks what can be accupiled. Accordingly, any fixed or moreable lituine including and seals, VIALA. O. GILLEGT SADDE. S. 1(2) occupied so states that an occupier is someone the but sufficient connol of the primine; wheat ~ E locon. In this case the occupier is hu Rasma (R) and Brar estaurant is being occupied. S. 1(2) 111/2 leaghed videon and mil Includes thritses licenses there under an contractual obsigning to ensur and have have a legal right to enter such as M. M II a project - Tonal visitor as per S. 2(3)(b) and projectional visition must be prepared to gaurd against risks that can Ollur. In Roles & Norhan, the accupies seccost lisbe for the death of chimney research of they intracted them to Work with the boilers off. In General Cleaning Contractors & Christman, it was held that a projenional visitors imployer can be ruld for an unsafe Jystem of work. However, just because the Miltor is a projesional, it does not mean that the occupier can avoid liability; In Solmon & Seafar -Ir Restaurance, the owners of a Chip Shop WIRE lighte when a fire figher was injured due to the fire's Character. Adoudingly, R can be found lighte for the injuries caused to M. & muy use the defence of vounti non fit injuria of M understand the rule associated with her job, simm v Leigh PtC, she also accepted it, White & Blackmore and she volunteered to help; Burneth, + Britth

7

If M's craim is successful she will be able to make a craim for demager. This includes pain and suffering, happing bills and purve 101 of earnings. She maybe also be able to claim damage for dutress caused due to her burns. Damages are twopped, general and special General damages calculate M's future low by wing An primula multiplicand Cost ennual loss) X multiplier (period of future loss). General damages are difficult to calculate. They are gwarded as one lump sum after the court make and avesment at trial. M's pain and suffering, future loss of earnings and distress caused will be included in the Category, since mell is not set figure available for the lass However, Since it is difficult to establish the preside which she will lose out on her earnings, the court may even award her periodical Ruyment. Special damages are easier to calculate as there is a specific sum of mency involved The will include MI hospital bills. M may allo require assistance from another for a set period of time If myl is a set amount that needs to be paid, the damages that low t be paid by K for My will fall under special demages

This answer goes straight into identifying the appropriate Act that contains the law on Occupiers' liability relevant to this scenario, i.e. the 1957 Act. Detailed knowledge of relevant sections of the Act and case law are explained in detail with evidence then applied to each element of establishing whether Mia was a lawful visitor, for example. Once liability has been established damages are discussed in detail such as the distinction between special and general damages. Unless the scenario gives detail regarding losses the application of the law on damages can be discussed in general terms, as is the case in this answer. Overall the response scored level 4 and 14 marks.

## DOC\_ID: 0507004137020

## Examiner tip

Candidates need to pay careful attention to the instructions given in evaluate questions as to which areas of substantial law they should focus on. With this question the instruction is to focus on 'rights' and 'remedies'. A good tip is to put a heading when discussing each part of the question so that the examiner can easily find the content relevant to the elements of the question.

## Question 3a

The command word is 'Describe' which requires candidates to show understanding of the law through an explanation or relevant case law.

This question is a point based one where the candidate needs to describe 2 situations where an omission may form the actus reus of a criminal offence for 2 knowledge marks. For the explanation marks the candidate then needs to give an expansion of the failure to perform a criminally recognised of duty, which can use a case.

Many candidates were able to score the 2 knowledge marks giving relevant examples contractual or family relationship. Many candidates were also able to give an expansion of at least one of the omissions identified, providing brief explanations of relevant case law.

## **3** (a) Describe **two** situations when an omission may form the actus reus of a criminal offence.

1 In situations were you have a special relationship with the victim, such as
in the case of Rylinstan. The defendant was staying with her quant which had
a condition that bluit allow her to feed herself or take care of herself. It was
held that the befordunt mus lighte for her death as she when of her combition
2 When there is a contractual tury to to something but you're amission to
that dury causes have or miny in Ry Pitsmood a guard to close the
gate and as has his contractual tury and as a rescall a train priver has
uilled upon collision.

(4)

## **Examiner Comments**

This answer gives gains 2 A01 marks for identifying two omissions in criminal law. 2 A02 marks are also gained for a brief explanation of a relevant case for each point, achieving 4 marks in total.

DOC\_ID: 0507003729865

## Examiner Comments

This answer gives gains 2 A01 marks for identifying a lack of capacity of mental illness and under the influence of drugs or alcohol. 1 A02 mark is gained for developing the latter A01 point, achieving 3 marks in total

2 If the contry has a "good samption law" such as France, alle use of 15 year see a proson in have or in reed of help you have to help them or you will be winingly liable. Junass diana lave

This answer gains an A01 mark for the 'good Samaritan law' and A02 mark for an expansion of this including the example of the 'Princess Diana case', achieving 2 marks in total.

DOC\_ID: 0507003964764

#### Examiner tip

With 4 mark Describe questions the 2 A02 marks can easily be gained by candidates using relevant case law or legislation with a small amount of explanation.

## **Question 3b**

This was marked using a levels of response based mark scheme. The candidates' answers were assessed in their entirety and allocated a level based on where this best fitted the level descriptions.

The command word in this question was 'Analyse', which was looking for a detailed answer, identifying the key issues regarding a case of defamation for Ali. There was no need for candidates to provide a conclusion.

Many candidates struggled with answering this question, often answering their own question, about Bob's guilt or otherwise to the offence of robbery, theft, burglary or an offence against the person. Limited credit was given for this approach though it clearly did not go to the core of the question. This question was asking students to apply the law on sentences to Bob's situation. Many candidates spent about half of their answer dealing with their chosen offence and then the latter half of their answer actually answered the set question. Candidates could approach the question either purely from an application of the law on sentences appropriate to Bob, e.g. custodial sentences. Alternatively, the were able to gain credit from relating an aim of sentencing such as punishment to an actual sentence.

For a **level 1** candidate response a basic knowledge of the appropriate sentence or an attempt at an application of an offence such as theft, such as identifying this action and a brief definition.

For a **level 2** response (3 or 4 marks) candidates often applied the law on an offence such as theft and then applied appropriate sentence to Bob's situation on a limited way.

For **level 3** responses candidates gave appropriate arguments as to why various sentences may be appropriate to Bob's crime and past criminal record. This included identifying mitigating and aggravating factors. Few responses gained full marks due to a lack of detail.

expensive watch and hitting the woman with a heavy object resulting in a Robberg serious injury. Bob has a history of committing similar offences. Bob has pleaded guilty. (b) Analyse the sentences that may be appropriate for Bob in this situation. Under S. B CD of the TheA Act 1968, Bob has committed a robbery. A mentions devined of a porton is guily of a robbery if he enter a building WAG intervien to recal and uses force to conduct a complete the pt. the configury will decide what is the level of earce In the code led that will peort to a robbery, like hudging, puthing or showing cloude in to called of thouse and Dawlon and games Bab shall be sentenced with CUSTODIO1 CERTENCE of a fixed-centerce > accounted and of a minimum of 5 years as ve enclangened the uppe of the enderny sinter to bbe which is more vulnerable person and this will add an ternie 1- 3 years. implicantiont. An extra sensence for stearing for a minimum of sy. Bob changed remarche and preaded giving early on , so this may decrease is control by a third however due to his littlery of crimes, a heavy punch-ment will be put to deter with from continuing on the center of opponder

soft the adding woman dier from the attack of a male vulnerable the POD will probably recieve like - unprisonnent of the contribution Mene and actus reus. Under so 177 OFTE siminal justice Act 2002 he may recieve a prohibitury requirement a curreur requirement 0210 and community wolk up to 300 hours and rehabilited in to requeste the mind of Bob to becoming homan and detering of Further offencing.

The candidate applies the law on Robbery in the first paragraph which gains limited credit, as it is not answering the thrust of the question. The next two paragraphs apply the law on sentences starting with custodial sentences to Bob's situation. Credit is gain from displaying a detailed understanding of the theory of sentences, such as fixed sentences with brief application to the situation, gaining L3 and 6 marks in total.

DOC\_ID: 0507003964764

The principle purpose of sentencing is to reduce crime by making people wont to obey the low. S. 142 (inminal Justice Act sets out the arms for sentencing and these include, the prevention of Enne, protection of the public, reduce crime and rehabilitate offenders. Here B has done wrong and as per the aim of retribution, deserves to be pupulsed. This satisfies the need for fairness and redresses the imbolonce. Il also valution the need of a vertion of a come for revenge. S. 177 (immal Justice Ad and the Powers of Kiminal (ouris ( Sentenang) Act 2000 , provides a mange of sentence) which include; dubarge, hner, community sentences and phion Jentences.

Here, as Bob bas a commal record and the offences of stealing and bits og bu victim on the bead are senous offences, he maybe given a determinate philon sentence. This is where the court fixes the length of time the offender musispend in prison. The altender spends halt at hy senience in cuitedy and the other half in the community. Yet as B has

## **Examiner Comments**

The candidate applies the law on sentences starting with aims of sentencing sentences to Bob's situation. Credit is gain from displaying a detailed understanding of the theory of sentences, such as fines with brief application to the situation, gaining L3 and 6 marks in total.

Doc ID: 0507004123530

## Examiner tip

Always start application questions with identification of the relevant case law and/or legislation. Also make sure that the set question is answered rather than one created by the candidate. Define basic terms such as slander and identify the claimant and defendant. Then briefly apply the key issues using sentences that are relevant to the situation.

## **Question 3c**

This was marked using a levels of response based mark scheme. The candidates' answers were assessed in their entirety and allocated a level based on where this best fitted the level descriptions.

The command word in this question was 'Assess', which was looking for an extended answer, looking at a specific area of law. Candidates needed to weigh up factors and events and identify the most important or relevant issues. There was no need for a conclusion though students often attempted to make one.

This question generally garnered weak responses with many answers lacking any case law or detailed legislative provision. Weaker candidates made little use of cases with the law implied from their answer. Other answers attempted to apply the elements of Making Off Without Payment, with little or no case law, which did gain some credit. Better responses managed to explain the elements of the offence, such as makes of from the spot, and apply this to the context of the question. The best responses gave relevant sections from the Act and worked logically through the actus reus of the offence, using relevant case law such as R v Vincent. However, even the best responses struggled to effectively apply the mens rea of Making Off Without Payment such as knowing payment was required on the spot. Some candidates were still attempting to apply the case of R v Ghosh on dishonesty, even though this has been overruled by the case of Ivey v Genting Casinos.

For **level 1** candidates were able to give basic knowledge of the law on Making Off without Payment, such as an element of the offence.

For **level 2** candidates were able give a general assessment of the evidence related to one or more elements of the offence. Answers that attempted to apply Making Off Without Payment often failed to explain and apply the relevant legislation and case law. Answers were generic with limited discussion of the key issues.

For **level 3** candidates were able to relate in detail one or more of the key elements of the offence to Dev's situation such as him making off from the petrol station. Some case law was used but answers often failed to assess the evidence by way of discussion, with assertions.

For **level 4** candidates were able to assess whether or not Dev had satisfied each element of Making Off Without Payment, using relevant case law and specific sections of the 1978 Act. The best answers weighed up whether or not Dev was liable for the offence.

when Dev left the petrol station without paying for petrol
he can be bund guilty of making off without payment contrary
to s. 3 of TA 1978, when A knows that the payment for
any good supplied or service done is required and
expected of disbonestly makes Str without having
paid as required and expected, and with intent to
avoid payment of the amount due. It carries a maximum
penalty of 2 years imprisonment.
Payment on the spot is payment at the time of collecting
goods and services or in relation to which service
has been provided. Payment on the spot would be in
this matance is before Dave Der leaves the petrol
Station, - Mc Davitt: However, payment on the spat can
be walked under sexeral circumstances Vincent 2001
payment on the spot was not required as he had agreed
with the hotel manager to pay on a later date.
To make off means to beave a place where one
is expected to stay. This need not be done by stealth
In Brooks and Brooks, O boldly stood up and walked
out of the restauros door without paying. In this case,
Dev makes off when he leaves the petrol station
without paying,

The meas rea is satisfied since Dave is aware that payment on the spot is required, is dishonsed as per the Ivey & Genting Casinos 2007. and had intention to permanently deprive as he left without paying In Allen, it was affirmed that D must have an intention never to pay rather than an intention to pay later. Thus, Dev is guilty of making off without payment.

This answer shows a very logical approach to each element of the offence of Making Off Without Payment. It covers the key elements of actus reus and mens rea using relevant case law. The response strikes a good balance between case law and the application of evidence. Overall a L4 answer worth 10 marks in total.

Doc ID: 0507004123534

As established in the Theft Act 1968, someone is guilty of making off without purgment if he bishomesing making off from the point sport where payment is regulined for services or goods he received

(107

Starting with the A actus rows elements, making off or running away in other works is required as shown in RV provides where the 2 mm left the restawast without paying. It's clear from the faces given that the devices left the people eation, without making payment which is the second requirement. Payment must also be required, consthing which in this are can easily be estably satisfiel as a reasonable man unows that payment is required at go people stations. Lastly, goods or services must have been arguited this easily be any remite. Here at Dev acquired performent which is a new material counting as property.

The mous rea of this crime e requires diffeometry which can be providen wing the locy test which overwheld the previous Gach test. Using it, it will assued whether Der believed he was being titheness and whether he was being diffeomest according to the standards of a reasonable man. It is most probable that he will satisfy this requirement due to the fact left while the petrol attendant wasn't looming sharing Machilles to being different that he petrol attendant wasn't looming that he was aware that pay mouth was required which is another requirement lastly, it must be providen that he had the intention to avoid payment. The intention must be permeanent as shown in the case where the keredant left the hotel without paying but later called and told them that he would give them

a his 10 as a security deposit and nould pay them as soon as possible, the court held deprive 4 inpint. that he film? have the intention to promoundity In Devis scenario there is nothing to proove that he had the intention to pay petrol in the future, so he will satisfy the criteria for the Der 13 liable for making off without payment and will likely be for the perrol and maybe some exempting damages Imposed With Jamuags to denounce his actions.

#### (Total for Question 3 = 20 marks)

#### **Examiner Comments**

This answer displays a generic knowledge of trespass with an attempt at application on some issues including damages. Overall an answer deserving L7 and 4 marks in total.

#### Examiner tip

Breaking topics down into a number of elements helps students in planning any application of the law to a problem. Each element can then be developed in a paragraph in the essay using relevant cases, leading to a much more coherent and high scoring answer.

## Question 4a

The command word is 'Identify' which requires candidates give brief explanations and/or examples of the focus of the question. There is no requirement or expectation to write a lot about a topic. With this question candidates needed to identify which incidents were a breach of a condition in the contract and which were a breach of warranty.

This question is a points based one where the candidate needs to provide brief application of the law on a condition and warranty from the scenario to gain 4 A02 marks. A much greater number of responses were much more focused on the command in the question resulting in many higher scoring answers. There were a smaller number of candidates who clearly did not understand there are no marks awarded for A01. So even though the discussion of the theory of warranty and condition was excellent they gained 0 marks as they did not apply this to the scenario. Sometimes this could take up most of the space available for the answer. As this detailed knowledge was not applied to the scenario, and there are no A01 marks available to be awarded for this question, unfortunately such responses gained few marks.

However, many candidates scored well on this question with the correct identification of at least 1 condition and 2 warranty issues with the car. A very good approach was often used by the best scoring candidates. A short sentence explaining what a condition and a warranty were was then followed by an appropriate identification of faults with the car that fell into one or other camp. Other responses simply identified what were regarded as a condition, such as the faulty engine, with brief explanation of the reason why. T

Even good responses still ran out of space in the answer booklet, emphasising the need for brevity and more focus on A02 skills rather than detailed discussion of the theory of breach of contract and what constituted a warranty,

4	Dave recently purchased a used car from Dodgy Motors, when Dave got the car home and properly inspected it, he noticed a new dent on the door and some old scratches to the paint work. He also noticed that the brake lights and the windscreen wipers were broken. The next day, upon starting the engine, Dave became aware of smoke coming from the exhaust just before the car broke down.
	Dave later learnt that the engine had not been properly repaired by Dodgy Motors and a replacement engine was more expensive than the price Dave had paid for the car.
	(a) Identify, from the scenario, which faults to the car are likely to be treated as a condition of the contract and those that are likely to be treated as a warranty.
	(4)
	The dent on the door and some old scrakelies would be
	treated as warranty, because it doesn't cause the contract
	o collapse, as long as Dodgy motors compensale / pay for the
	slight damage. The brake lights, wipers and engine would be
	treated as conditions because the under the SDG Act, the
	item sold was not fit for its used. The engine broke
,,	and car didn't start. He paid the money for it so
	this may cause the contract to collapse.

This scored 4 marks – identifies the dent, scratches and briefly explains why they would constitute a warranty. Goes onto identify brake lights and engine and briefly explains why these would be classed as a condition of the contract. The answer is also of merit as it achieves full marks with the right balance of brevity and conciseness, only using the space provided in the answer booklet.

## Examiner tip

Read and understand what the question is asking you to do, it can save time and gain marks.

**Remember**- This type of question gives no credit for anything other than application of the law. This should be briefly expanded on to gain the 4 A02 marks.

DOC\_ID: 0507003563323

## **Question 4b**

This was marked using a levels of response based mark scheme. The candidates' answers were assessed in their entirety and allocated a level based on where this best fitted the level descriptions.

The command word in this question was 'Analyse', which was looking for a detailed answer, identifying the key issues regarding whether Ahmed owed a duty of care to Rana.

There was no need for candidates to provide a conclusion.

There was a range of answers to this question from candidates who confused criminal law with the civil law of negligence, to those who applied in detail the 3 Caparo tests. The latter approach was the thrust of the question. Weaker responses failed to get much further than quoting Donoghue v Stevenson and a weak attempt at applying this to Ahmed's situation. Better responses quoted Caparo and then attempted to apply the incremental approach but with no case law. The best responses defined each element of the Caparo test using a relevant case and then briefly applied this Ahmed's situation. A reasonable proportion of candidates struggled with this question with many providing generic answers with little relevant law being applied. However, there were other response which clearly displayed an excellent understanding of this area of the law and how it applied to the short scenario.

For a **level 1** candidate response shows a basic knowledge of the appropriate a duty of care quoting Donoghue v Stevenson.

For a **level 2** response (3 or 4 marks) candidates often identified the Caparo and the three tests and attempted an application to the though, case law and detail was often lacking.

For **level 3** responses candidates used case law for each of the tests in Caparo and briefly applied this to Ahmed and Rana's situation.

(b) Analyse whether Ahmed owed a duty of care to Rana.	)
For a successful claim under negligence, the chimant must	estabilit
the day of Care D (Defendant) and him, that there was a	breach
in the duty and the damages caused. Previously to establish	sha
duty of care, the heighbourhood principle founded in Don	the Y
Stevenson was used. However, due to manipulation of the ne	ishbouhood
principle, it was reformed into a three part test called the Co	para

Test. The first part is foreceability. Would anyone in Rana's position be affected by Ahmed's actions. Yes, therefore there is foreseeability (Kent v Griffiths). In Bourhill v Young there was no fore see ability as Mrs. Bowhill only surjued at the aftermath of the incident, this the Motorcyclist didn't one a duty of care. Next part? is there proximity? Kana and Abmad both are friends and also Abmad is a car mechanic and Roma is his customer, thes there is proximity (Osman v Ferguson ) - Third part : Is it fair just and reasonable to hold responsible. It was infact only Abmad's fault for not Ahmad adjustment to the bracke thus it is fair to hold him proper E (MPC v Reeves) Thus, Ahmad did one responsible care to Rana. of

The answer identifies the general duty of care in Donoghue v Stevenson. The response then uses appropriate case law to define each element of Caparo and briefly apply Ahmeds' situation to the law on establishing if a duty care exists. The response achieved L3 and 6 marks and is written within the space provided for the answer.

#### Examiner tip

Where a question such as this is based on identifiable areas of law that need to be briefly discusses and applied split your essay into a paragraph for each area. For example, as Caparo has 3 elements that need to be satisfied this would equate to a paragraph for each, containing a definition, an appropriate case explained and then a brief application.

## **Question 4c**

This was marked using a levels of response based mark scheme. The candidates' answers were assessed in their entirety and allocated a level based on where this best fitted the level descriptions.

The command word in this question was 'Assess', which was looking for an extended answer, looking at a specific area of law. Candidates needed to weigh up factors and events and identify the most important or relevant issues. There was no need for a conclusion though students often attempted to make one.

A key phrase in the question was 'breached his duty' which many candidates failed to fully consider. Centres may like to note that topics such as negligence are often split into smaller areas to ensure they are sufficiently challenging but achievable in the time allowed for a 10 mark question. For example, a 10 mark question on negligence is only likely to focus on a couple of aspects of this area of law. In this question it is only breach of duty. Again a small number of responses confused criminal and civil law concepts and gained little or no marks. Other responses repeated the law on duty of care from the previous question, again gaining little credit. However, there were a number of very good responses that focused on breach of duty of care.

For **level 1** candidates were able to give basic knowledge of the law on negligence or breach of duty with little or no case law.

For **level 2** candidates were able give a general assessment of the evidence and often identified the why Ahmed has breached his duty, but with little case law. Answers were generic with limited discussion of the key issues.

For **level 3** candidates were able to relate in detail one or more of the key issues in the breach such as the application of risk factors affecting the standard of the reasonable man. Case law was used with but answers often failed to assess the evidence by way of discussion, with assertions.

For **level 4** candidates were able to assess whether or not the Ahmed has breached his duty of care to Rana, looking at various risk factors. The best answers weighed up what made the risks lower or higher and how this might make Ahmed more culpable. Remedies were discussed with some excellent conclusions.

Abmed (A) may have breached his dury of care by falling below the standar - d expected of a reasonable man. There are several factors mu con maleau or lower the standard, But include the degree of risk (Bolton & Store) (OIR of procentions (Latomer AED, Port ntial Stransment of the intury (Pirs ~ Stephy BC) and the importance of the activity (Marthall & Ormond). By not making the correct adjustment to R's breaks, A has increased the degree of and YISK, has taken no precautions to minimise the VISK. The potential serious -new of R's injuries are also quite high as she had to take there aff would and the activity done by A vow also very important. All these factors means that A avoid R a viry high standard of care, which he failed to reach. Since A a car mechanic he will be compared to an avoincry comperent mechanic Bolum PIN the Blo Test. Accordingly, he has not reached the standard of same 0) Ordinory mechnic wow kl owed, nor has he prepared his services as an as they will not forget the correct adjustments to be made. Due to A's conduct, damage has been caused to R. This ever caused by Barnett V Chelsen ) and the damage suffered is not too remote A's conduct (Bot # The De Dagon Mound (2). A should have poseen that sometype of injury was possible to result (Tolley). freshold Mur K is successful in her Claim agains A, she will want deim demages for her demaged cur, pain and suffering, the inconvenience caused by ruling time off each, low of earnings, and bing another mech -and to fix her breaks. General damages calculare future las of carnings

by the equarion multiplicand (per annual loss) × multiplier (period of punce less). The pain and suffering and the incanventence could to her will be considered as general damages. This is because the damage is not quantifiable and figure more is not set figure available to be awarded a damage. The court will Make an allement of trial and award one lump sum. Since general damages are difficult to calculate, R may win be granted periodical payments. Redumaged car, law of earnings (if she recieved a set sum of money), hiclos another mechanic and bapital bills full under special damage as mere is a set of igure on easier to collulate. of money available for A 10 pay. Special damage (Total for Question 4 = 20 marks)

The answer identifies, explains and applies the relevant areas of bread of duty to Ahmed and Rana's situation in a logical and methodical manner. Case law is also applied well as is the issue of damages. An excellent answer that achieves L4 and 10 marks.

Examiner tip

Students may benefit from the teaching of different approaches to legal problem solving. Breaking up a breach of duty into smaller parts such as risk factors can help candidates structure and apply the law more effectively.

DOC\_ID: 0507004137020

## **Question 5**

This was marked using some levels of response based mark scheme. The candidates' answers were assessed in their entirety and allocated a level based on where this best fitted the level descriptions. This is the question candidates need to spend some time on due to the level of marks available.

The command word in this question was 'Evaluate', which was looking for an extended answer, identifying areas of law which were given and some which were not. Candidates needed to draw a conclusion based on the law, its application and evaluation, with use of the problem.

Candidates needed to consider the elements of contract law, for three situations. Candidates then needed to consider whether the rights and remedies conferred, if any, in each of the three situation. There were 5 areas that candidates could explore in each of the three situations but full marks only required discussion of three of these, due to the time constraints. These were offer, acceptance, intention to create legal relations, consideration, breach and remedies. Ideally candidates needed to only deal with the contentious issues rather those that were settled. This was a question that appeared very popular and accessible to the majority of candidates. Few responses failed to gain at least some marks. Most candidates were able to identify and explain at least some issues regarding offer and acceptance.

Weaker answers gave attempted a generic application of contract law to all three situations, with little case law or legal framework. At the other end of the scale there were some outstanding applications of the law on many of the 5 areas and with relevant remedies.

For **level 1** candidates were able to give basic knowledge on the law of formation of a contract. Superficial application of some elements of the law were made to the scenario with no case law.

For **level 2** candidates were able to relate the law on the formation of a contract to one or more of the situations. There was little evidence of relevant case law applied to the scenario. Candidates answers tended to be generic and unfinished.

For **level 3** candidates were able to relate the law on contract to the scenario with relevant case law and more detailed application. Higher scoring answers were able to provide more detailed discussion and application on the formation of a contract for all 3 situations.

For **level 4** candidates were able to discuss whether or not a contract had been formed in detail with excellent application of relevant elements. Cases and were used in detail to support discussions and remedies were discussed.

An offer is a fine firm undertaking to be bound in the
event its terms are accepted by the other. It must be
final, certain and unambiguous. In Gibbson v Manchester CC,
The statement may be prepared to sell the property was
insufficient to be an offer, Yet, in Storer & Monchester
<u>C</u> the details of the Sale were cleary specified
so an offer was made. Generally advertisements are
not offers. In Harris V Nickerson, advertisements
advertising the holding of an auction was not an offer
but an intention to treat. In Partridge v Crittenden,
the advertisement offering to sell rare birds vras
not an offer, but an invitation to treat. However,
Carlil & Carbolil Smake Ball Co, an advertisement offering
a remard to anyone who used smoke Balls but Still
Contracted the Influenza was an offer due to Bonk
account opened to pay the reward money. Here, an offer is
made when Ginika messaged Dave, Saying [ ] will
bring \$200 into work tomorrow for the shirt. No need

10

to reply, Consider it sold
Having located the offer we now consider the
acceptance. Acceptance is an ungualified assent to the
offer. For acceptance to be valid of should be unconditional
( Chillingworth v Esche), should be on identical terms
(Hyde v Wrench) and must be communicated in actual
fact (Felthouse v Bindley) at there is authority to say
that sili- silence doesn't amount to acceptance and the
offeree must have knowledge of the offeror at the
time of acceptance, (Ry Clarke - Australian - Case).
For revocation to be valid it should be before acceptance
and must be communicated in actual fact - Byrne v
Van Tienhoven. Here, there is no acceptance made by
Dave, Revocation is made by Ginika before any
acceptance and there is communication in actual fact
because she contacted Dave and Changed her mind
about buying the shirt. Therefore, no contract is formed
between Dave and Ginika,
An offer is made by Henry when he said,
f 2201 1'll take that foodba football shirt. There is
acceptance on the part of Dave when he said he
would take \$ 220. However, a counter offer is
made when Dave said He will take \$220 instead
of \$ 200. A counter offer must be distingued
from a request for further information as seen in

Stevenson v McLean Buttler Nachine Tool Excell-O where a counter offer doesn't contain the terms of the original offer they can be incorporated into the countra contract. Here there is acceptance made by bave before revocation made by Henry So revocation is not valid and no contract is formed between Dave and Henry Agreements can be commercial or clomestic in nature parties Domestic agreement is when, donod intend to be legally bound as seen in Barbur V Barbour. However, the presumption can be rebuilted as seen in Merrid y Merritt. Here, it seems to be more like a domestic agreement since Dave had a discussion about the shirt with his friends - Ginika and Henry However, nd eventhough they had may have intention to buy the shirt no contract was formed between the two as they may have not had legal intention to create a contract. In Conclusion, no contract is formed between Dave and Ginika, and Dave and Henry

The answer identifies, explains and applies the case law on the formation of a contract to all three situations, with a final conclusion. The elements of the formation of contract are applied using case law with a conclusion. Notice that the response finally conclude no contract was formed in any of the three situations and therefore remedies have not been discussed. The scenarios are written in such a way as to allow candidates to apply the law .and reach a number of different but reasonable conclusions. An excellent answer that achieves L4 and 20 marks.

Doc ID: 0507004123534

Evaluate Dave's legal rights and remedies in this situation.

(20)

Under contrait law wave are 5 elevents which we can the be
Pruece to show there was formation wha contrast. The toru
is an after i which is an expression of meangines by we party.
to be legally bance by the terms it accepted. Designed
Dave marke an anytrue after las singing "Answe who wings
Sau can to my have can have the year." This is a o line in Concille " contract Smore Ball unidersal offer, as it was apar to 'angae! all not just
One other pares. Therefore, acceptence by conduct can be
allineer. Homenen, 10 R course. Givina message ce. Done and
Saice he will "bring \$200 hto wan tomarcu" this may
amente to a conter after. as the original after stated his
'have ' and sute change to work' may bee form vereice
acceptance. Acceptance must be unconditioned button
The original affor, unit is not the CADE have. Therefore
Garris seques i a course ofter line in there was
where the convence free of 29.50 rejences the origand effer
of \$1000 and Creaker a new offer in itset. Shace with
formed a new offer, there mut be serve Sout of reporse

18

## 

by Dave to relient his acceptance. It was held in Taylor when and Fertrume & Budded then sitence is not acceptance, Crimma is star enound in uneed to repend is muchice # 92 Henno's after at the endage cartesing & 220 is also 6 canter offer, as it does not inater to exact form if to crigmal Offer le BARDIE ... is to PUTSTERALS . WALKE. The Twich element is consideration 1.1 was desinced Cunic vehica as a "peretit and cleri PRESS. that an agreement Fritz, Consideration here wald be the 2200 m EKChange Bar. Sa. Short, but it haefned becm exednongeal. Consideration what which from the class PEST CE-Siderention / DEV. Hummy G. Rie-Existing ...(h dus when the palice choirs an Collins & Godefress. The fourth themant is prach, of canbrass new, it is here CHENCES THE at THE PARTES MADRICE, but suce it was includenced when this is accordedly Orizonella. 125-Elin this care is with repeals to how the Creek legel Hermins & Microsen of offer faccuston another states ... In somewhich and changes the anonanges relatione presuppice is trust pore is no lagent hotentic 300 Baltur v Baltur this was well to be he can as the Jun Still browierd , barr m. Marte & acoust trace was lessel botennes as the were separated. Inthis car In can be acqueed turn as it is a social arranged the potence to you tere attraice by the beneen passies to be regally sound by a COntrast. 94110730100

19 Turn over 🕨

. Vono Poull where it with a Source and a long
in hones any ! Done walk weed to repart this promption
to Same to sale 1. G. Commercied adjudences of Miles with the
. whon the succerch ,
China was Partitiere to change be Mind about sugar te
Shir, a.s. mar. Sometre of free breech met. Jorgen weldelide accepted.
by Dave yet. The contenante of daw by Henry at
want to rake clay was evenably accorned by Dave,
but be cause of the Swill arrongendents there we
he stal botter to cover begal edontion. Therefore. It Burg
also ware a sinor to reverse bis of the Physichado you
there Dave's Dright cities was as a reacht st consul cher
when his fierder , line an articles w. Burnece, this existences
a proby sorial name of the astronomy which course
. She begaling to fave and in lande is Show the strange
A.S. a result. Dare is unlikers to be able to claim to
ang damaajes from Earrise a Gr. 1 tearag.

The answer identifies, explains and applies the case law on the formation of a contract to all three situations, with a final conclusion. The elements of the formation of contract are applied using case law with a conclusion An excellent answer that achieves L4 and 18 marks due to the lack of conclusion.

DOC\_ID: 0507003729877

#### Examiner tip

Identify the key areas of the law the 20-mark question is asking candidates to consider. Then discuss each area in turn to aid a logical structure to the answer. Headings for each of the three situation can help responses to be discussed in a logical structure as can the underlining of cases. Finally, deal with each relevant part of the formation of contract in a separate paragraph, e.g. offer and acceptance. Finally, answers do not require each element of contract to be dealt with in the same level of detail. An outline of the general issues can then focus in detail on the areas that are contentious. This strikes the right balance between showing the examiner an overall understanding of the formation of a contract but reduces the level of writing needed to score full marks.

## Paper Summary

Based on their performance on this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

- Read the questions and pay careful attention to what the command words are asking you to do. This will mean answers will be more focused on what gains marks.
- Use relevant case law and legislation for the areas of the problem that are felt to be contentious and try to only briefly discuss areas that are non-contentious.
- Consider using the horizontal or vertical technique to writing answers for problems worth 6 to 20 marks. Some candidates may gain more confidence and more marks by being encouraged to write down the law with a brief explanation at the start of their answers. They can concentrate on applying the law to the scenario.
- Split longer questions which have multiple situations, key areas of law, claimants or defendants into headings in the answer. This helps with logical structure, analysis and evaluation and avoids candidates missing areas of law due to time pressure.
- As all areas of the specification are open to examination it is critical candidates have the opportunity to cover all topics, at least briefly.

Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at 80 Strand, London, WC2R 0RL, United Kingdom